

Evaluation Criteria for Agent-Oriented Methodologies: A Proposal

C. Bernon & M.P Gleizes

IRIT - University Paul Sabatier

Toulouse, France

http://www.irit.fr/SMAC





- Why evaluation is needed?
 - o To help a potential user
 - o To compare existing methodologies
- > What do we need with ADELFE?
- > Proposal from TFG1
- > Proposed criteria
- > Results for some methodologies





Why Evaluating AO Methodologies?

- To help a potential user evaluate the investment needed for a methodology depending on
 - o The human factors
 - The application to be developed
- To classify methodologies depending on
 - o Their application domain
 - o The tools and notations they provide
- Main interest: which methodology has to be chosen?
 - o According to the requirements of a designer
 - o According to the requirements of a problem





Investment wrt Human Aspects

> Current knowledge of designers

- o Does the designer need some training?
- o Are notations and tools already known?
- o Are handled concepts familiar?
- o Is a help about handled (MAS) concepts provided?

Costs involved

- o Is a licence required?
- o Does it help decrease the development time?
- o Are tools provided to automate the development?
- o Are these tools standards?
- o Are some additional tools needed too?





Sturm and al.'s Evaluation Framework

Current knowledge of designers

- o Does the designer need some training? -> Pragmatics/resources
- o Are notations and tools already known? → Pragmatics/expertise
- o Are handled concepts familiar? → Pragmatics/expertise
- o Is a help about handled (MAS) concepts provided?

> Costs involved

- o Is a licence required? → Pragmatics/expertise?
- o Does it help decrease the development time?
- O Are tools provided to automate the development? → Pragmatics/resources
- o Are these tools standards? → Pragmatics/resources?
- o Are some additional tools needed too? → Pragmatics/resources?





Investment wrt Application

- > Application domain
 - o Are handled concepts specific?
 - o Are some languages, notations or tools mandatory?
 - o Is there a methodology best suited?
- > Applying the methodology
 - o Is it easy to use?
 - Is a description provided?
 - Are guidelines provided?
 - Are tools easy to use?
 - o Is it complete?
 - Does it cover all the designer needs?
 - Is the design process complete?
 - o Is it efficient?
 - Are validation or verification tools provided?





Sturm and al.'s Evaluation Framework

- > Application domain
 - o Are handled concepts specific? →
 - O Are some languages, notations or tools mandatory? → Pragmatics/language
 - o Is there a methodology best suited?
- > Applying the methodology
 - o Is it easy to use? → Process
 - Is a description provided?
 - Are guidelines provided?
 - Are tools easy to use?
 - o Is it complete? → Process
 - Does it cover all the designer needs?
 - Is the design process complete?
 - o Is it efficient?
 - Are validation or verification tools provided? → Pragmatics + Process





What do we Need?

- > Application characterization
 - o For what kind of application the methodology is best suited?
 - o Is it limited to a certain kind of applications?
 - o Is there an underlying agent architecture?
- > Meta-model
 - o What are the underlying (MAS) concepts?
 - o What do they mean?





What do we Need (2)

- > Fragment definition
 - o What are the stages and activities of the methodology?
 - o Are they specialised, best suited for a certain kind of application?
- ➤ Concepts
 - o To take into account other aspects of applications
 - Dynamics
 - Openness
 - Adaptation
 - o To take into account the environment of the application
- > Identification of agents





Some references

- Bernon C., Gleizes M-P., Picard G., and Glize P., <u>The Adelfe Methodology For an Intranet System Design</u>, Fourth International Bi-Conference Workshop on Agent-Oriented Information Systems (<u>AOIS-2002</u>), 27-28 May 2002, Toronto (Ontario, Canada) at <u>CAISE'02</u>
- Cernuzzi L. and Rossi G., On the evaluation of agent oriented modeling methods. on Agent-Oriented Methodologies, OOPSLA 02, Seattle, November 2002.
- Dam K.H., Winikoff M., Comparing Agent-Oriented Methodlogies at AOIS 2003, Melbourne Australia
- Kumar M., Contrast and comparison of five major agent oriented software engineering (AOSE) methodologies, http://students.jmc.ksu.edu/grad/madhukar/www/profesional/aosepaper.pdf
- O'Malley S.A. and DeLoach S.A., Determining when to use an agent-oriented software engineering., Proceedings of the Second International Workshop On Agent-Oriented Software Engineering (AOSE-2001), pages 188--205, Montreal, May 2001.
- Shehory O.and Sturm A., Evaluation of modeling techniques for agent-based systems, Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Autonomous Agents, pages 624--631. ACM Press, May 2001.
- Sturm A. and Shehory O., Towards industrially applicable modeling technique for agent-based Systems, Proceedings of International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems, Bologna, July 2002.
- Yu E., Cysneiros L.M., Agent-oriented methodologies Towards a challenge exemplar. AOIS'02;

